

Delhi Air Quality Daily Report

10 July 2026 · Analysis window: 10 AM 9th July to 10 AM 10th July · Compared with last year: avg of 3rd Jul to 17th Jul 2025 (same +/-7 days), matched stations

Delhi's air **looks** -15 points vs last year on the meter, but that is the weather's work. Remove it, and the city is **+15 points worse than last year**. The improvement belongs to the weather, not to policy. Today's reading itself is weather-flattered by about 32 points.

“मौसम ने आँकड़ा दबा दिया है, असली प्रदूषण आज भी उतना ही ऊँचा।”

95

Weather-corrected AQI: the honest number (what the city's own pollution justifies)

63

What the meter shows (weather-flattered):

Satisfactory


+15

AQI points vs last year **after weather correction**: the real change

70


AQI where most people live (population-weighted), worse than the plain average

1 · The last 30 days



Dashed grey = days without a full CPCB bulletin (6 of 30 days).

Stations by air-quality category (count of stations, today)



How to read this report

The **weather-corrected** number removes rain, wind and mixing effects using a model trained on five years of hourly data. It shows what the city's own emissions justify. A gap between the two numbers is the weather's contribution, in either direction.

2 • Station detail

The observed worst station today is **Wazirpur** (AQI 125, Moderate, driven by CO). Observed peaks on a single day can be weather-driven; the weather-corrected hotspot below is the sturdier fact.

Against the world standard: even after removing the weather's help, Delhi's fine-particle level (PM_{2.5}) is **37 micrograms per cubic metre (µg/m³)**, which is **2.4× the WHO safe limit of 15**. The worst weather-corrected hotspot is **Wazirpur** at 45 µg/m³, which is **3.0× the WHO limit**.

How widespread: after weather correction, **29 of 29** comparable stations are worse than last year; the typical station is 17 AQI points higher. This is a city-wide rise, not a few bad spots.

Worst stations today (observed CPCB AQI): top 8 of 44 reporting

Station	AQI	Category	Main pollutant
Wazirpur	125	Moderate	CO
IHBAS	123	Moderate	CO
Jahangirpuri	114	Moderate	PM10
Pusa	102	Moderate	CO
Mandir Marg	101	Moderate	CO
Anand Vihar	97	Satisfactory	CO
CRRJ Mathura Road	95	Satisfactory	CO
Shadipur	87	Satisfactory	CO

Station AQI = CPCB bulletin method (the worst pollutant sub-index at each station). Full station list in the day's data file.

3 · Weather or policy? The attribution

On the meter the air looks -15 points vs last year, but that is the weather's doing: correct for it and the real change is **+15 points worse**. (the weather model's own station set puts the observed change at -23)

Real (weather-corrected) change vs last year, by pollutant



Bars right of the line = genuinely worse than last year after weather correction; left = genuinely better. Same-station comparison, ±7-day 2025 baseline.

The weather evidence

Weather driver	Last year (same weeks)	Today
Rain	6.6 mm	0 mm
Wind speed	5.9 km/h	11 km/h
Mixing height	463.2 m	439.2 m
Inversion	1.4 °C	no data
Temperature	29.3 °C	27.5 °C

Last year's values are shown so the reader can judge the comparison directly.

Honesty box: The weather-correction model explains about 78% of hour-to-hour variation on its training data; out-of-sample skill is lower, so we treat outputs as estimates. The weather-corrected year-on-year change for fine particles is measured to within roughly ±1 percentage points of sampling error, before model uncertainty. Gas data carries documented unit-inconsistency (peer-reviewed international research, 2025); we lead on the reliable PM2.5/PM10. Percentages compare the same stations in both years; single-day observed spikes are never used as the headline.

4 · Weather's share vs the city's own pollution in 2026 so far

This is the most important picture in the report: it separates **how much of Delhi's change in pollution was the weather** from **how much was the city's own doing** (its traffic, dust, burning and industry).


What the two lines are. Each line is this year's level minus the average of the same ± 7 days last year, in $\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$. The **grey line** is the **raw observed** change: exactly what the monitors measured, weather and all. The **red line** is the same change **after our model removes the weather** (wind, rain, temperature, mixing height), leaving what the city's own emissions actually did.

Why it matters. A calm, still year makes the air look worse and a windy, wet year makes it look better; neither is anyone's policy. Raw numbers therefore flatter or punish a government for the sky's luck. The red line is the accountability signal: it answers "did the city's own pollution actually go up or down?" The **vertical gap between the lines is the weather's estimated share** of the change. When the red line sits *above* the grey, the weather was *helping* and hiding a real worsening; when it sits below, the weather was making a genuine improvement look worse than it was.

What de-weathering is pointing to here. Read the red line's shape across the year: where it climbs, the city's own pollution rose regardless of the weather. That is the part policy is responsible for.


PM2.5: the year so far ($\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$ vs the same days last year, 7-day average)

■ Observed change (weather included) ■ Weather-corrected change: the city's own pollution (model estimate)



PM10: the year so far ($\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$ vs the same days last year, 7-day average)

■ Observed change (weather included) ■ Weather-corrected change: the city's own pollution (model estimate)




This chart is per pollutant in $\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$ and is **retrospective** (recomputed now with our current model); the report's headline is today's city AQI in index points, on the model as it stood today. The quantities, windows and engines differ, so the newest point here need not equal the headline.

'Last year' is the average of the same ± 7 days in 2025 (a 15-day average, not a single day). This year view is a **retrospective re-estimate**: every 2026 day was recomputed now with our current weather-correction model (trained through 2026-07-11). These are **not** the figures we published on those days. One consistent model removes week-to-week refit jumps so the whole year reads on one basis; the trade-off is that early-2026 points use a model that also saw later-2026 data (a look-ahead re-estimate, fine for a descriptive year view but not a forecast). Where a day's archived figure differs, the archived figure is the one published that day: 10 July was published at about $37 \mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$ PM2.5 de-weathered on that day's model; this retrospective line puts it near 44. The red line is a model estimate (typical margin $\approx \pm 10 \mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$ PM2.5 / $\pm 15 \mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$ PM10 on these weekly averages), so the gap between the lines is an estimate too. Additionally smoothed with a 7-day centered average (needs ≥ 3 reconstructed days; 191 days existed, 191 usable after the full-network floor).

5 • PM2.5: month by month (2026)


■ Observed change (weather included) ■ Weather-corrected change: the city's own pollution (model estimate)

January · scale ± 110




within the $\pm 11 \mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$ margin, but ran lower than last year on 31 of 31 days (stations 41)

February · scale ± 30




within the $\pm 11 \mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$ margin, but ran lower than last year on 26 of 28 days (stations 41 to 44)

March · scale ± 40




about level with last year, within the $\pm 10 \mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$ model margin (stations 46 to 47)

April · scale ± 30




about level with last year, within the $\pm 10 \mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$ model margin (stations 46 to 47)

May · scale ± 30




about level with last year, within the $\pm 10 \mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$ model margin (stations 47 to 54)

June · scale ± 30



about level with last year, within the $\pm 10 \mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$ model margin (stations 52 to 54)

July · scale ± 20




within the $\pm 10 \mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$ margin, but ran higher than last year so far on 10 of 10 days (stations 52 to 54)

Each small chart is one calendar month; the 7-day average is centered and continuous, so a month's first and last few days blend into the neighbouring months. Grey = observed change, red = the city's own (weather-corrected) change, vs the same ± 7 days in 2025. The current month's last three days are not shown until they can be centered (a centered average needs three days either side).

6 • PM10: month by month (2026)


■ Observed change (weather included) ■ Weather-corrected change: the city's own pollution (model estimate)

January • scale ± 150




about level with last year, within the ± 17 $\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$ model margin (stations 40)

February • scale ± 70




about level with last year, within the ± 17 $\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$ model margin (stations 40 to 43)

March • scale ± 80




about level with last year, within the ± 16 $\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$ model margin (stations 45 to 46)

April • scale ± 90




within the ± 16 $\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$ margin, but ran higher than last year on 30 of 30 days (stations 45 to 46)

May • scale ± 90




about level with last year, within the ± 15 $\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$ model margin (stations 45 to 53)

June • scale ± 80



within the ± 15 $\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$ margin, but ran higher than last year on 30 of 30 days (stations 51 to 53)

July • scale ± 70



within the ± 15 $\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$ margin, but ran higher than last year so far on 10 of 10 days (stations 51 to 53)

Each small chart is one calendar month; the 7-day average is centered and continuous, so a month's first and last few days blend into the neighbouring months. Grey = observed change, red = the city's own (weather-corrected) change, vs the same ± 7 days in 2025. The current month's last three days are not shown until they can be centered (a centered average needs three days either side).

Annexure A • Every reporting station

All 44 stations that reported in today's window, worst first. Station AQI = CPCB bulletin method (the worst pollutant sub-index at the station).

#	Station	AQI	Category	Main pollutant
1	Wazirpur	125	Moderate	CO
2	IHBAS	123	Moderate	CO
3	Jahangirpuri	114	Moderate	PM10
4	Pusa	102	Moderate	CO
5	Mandir Marg	101	Moderate	CO
6	Anand Vihar	97	Satisfactory	CO
7	CRRJ Mathura Road	95	Satisfactory	CO
8	Shadipur	87	Satisfactory	CO
9	North Campus	86	Satisfactory	CO
10	Bawana	86	Satisfactory	PM10
11	Mundka	85	Satisfactory	NO2
12	Cantonment Area	77	Satisfactory	CO
13	Chandni Chowk	73	Satisfactory	CO
14	NSIT Dwarka	68	Satisfactory	CO
15	IGI Airport (T3)	67	Satisfactory	Ozone
16	Jawaharlal Nehru Stadium	65	Satisfactory	PM10
17	Narela	64	Satisfactory	PM10
18	Punjabi Bagh	62	Satisfactory	PM10
19	Sonia Vihar	62	Satisfactory	CO
20	Burari Crossing	61	Satisfactory	CO
21	Rohini	59	Satisfactory	CO
22	ITO	57	Satisfactory	NO2
23	IIT Delhi	54	Satisfactory	PM10
24	Patparganj	53	Satisfactory	CO
25	Ashok Vihar	52	Satisfactory	PM10
26	NSUT Jaffarpur	52	Satisfactory	Ozone

Annexure A • Every reporting station (continued)

#	Station	AQI	Category	Main pollutant
27	Dwarka-Sector 8	51	Satisfactory	PM10
28	Nehru Nagar	49	Good	PM2.5
29	Pusa	47	Good	Ozone
30	IMD Lodhi Road	47	Good	Ozone
31	R K Puram	47	Good	CO
32	Sirifort	45	Good	PM2.5
33	Najafgarh	44	Good	PM10
34	Talkatora Garden	44	Good	CO
35	Major Dhyan Chand National Stadium	43	Good	PM10
36	IGNOU_Maidan Garhi	42	Good	CO
37	Vivek Vihar	40	Good	CO
38	Sri Aurobindo Marg	40	Good	PM10
39	Aya Nagar	37	Good	Ozone
40	JNU	36	Good	PM10
41	Dr. Karni Singh Shooting Range	35	Good	CO
42	Alipur	33	Good	PM10
43	Commonwealth Sports Complex	32	Good	NO2
44	DTU	29	Good	SO2

Annexure B • Pollutant-by-pollutant (all seven)

Every pollutant we track is listed below, observed AND weather-corrected. That includes the ones we deliberately never build claims on, so the reader sees the whole picture and the rules we bind ourselves with.

Pollutant	Today (obs, µg/m ³ *)	Today (weather-corr.)	Obs. change vs last yr	Weather-corr. change [range]	Model skill	Role in our claims
PM2.5	19.3	36.6	-25.7%	+12% [+11.5 to +12.4]	no data	Claims-eligible
PM10	47.4	96.8	-27.1%	+21.9% [+19.7 to +23.5]	no data	Claims-eligible
NO2	20.4	29.3	-27.3%	+7.9% [-1.1 to +18.7]	no data	Claims-eligible
NOx	17.9	23.2	-22%	+6.6% [-1.5 to +16.3] (not usable for claims)	no data	Observed context only
SO2	10	14.6	-4.2%	+26.5% [+5.1 to +58.4] (not usable for claims)	no data	Observed context only
CO	727.9	988.8	+0.6%	+7.9% [+2.8 to +13.6] (not usable for claims)	no data	Observed context only
Ozone	23.3	48.8	-53.2%	+29.6% [+25 to +34] (not usable for claims)	no data	Observed only, never a claim

*CO in mg/m³. “Model skill” = share of variation the weather model explains on days it has never seen (honest, out-of-sample). Ranges are the model’s sampling ranges; a range spanning zero means “too close to call”.


PM2.5: weather-corrected claims allowed (model skill adequate) · **PM10:** weather-corrected claims allowed (model skill adequate) · **NO2:** direction reliable (same stations + units both years); absolute levels carry the units caveat · **NOx:** not part of CPCB’s AQI, and the weather model is weak here, so we report observed facts only · **SO2:** the weather model is too weak to separate weather from policy, so we report observed facts only · **CO:** the weather model is too weak to separate weather from policy, so we report observed facts only · **Ozone:** rising ozone chemically signals falling traffic NO2 (standing rule: excluded so it can never be spun as 'transport improved')

Above the world standard today: PM2.5: 27 of 40 stations above the WHO daily limit as observed; even after weather correction, 40 of 40 remain above it. **PM10:** 18 of 41 stations above the WHO daily limit as observed; even after weather correction, 41 of 41 remain above it. (Weather-model matched panel.)


Annexure C • 30-day trends, pollutant by pollutant

Grey = observed daily level; crimson = the weather-corrected level (what the city's own emissions justify). Dashed grey bridges = days without a model run. $\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$ (CO in mg/m^3); 24h city means to 10 AM.


PM2.5




PM10




NO2




NOx




SO2



CO



Ozone



How the 36 matched stations moved vs last year (AQI points)

Better (fell): 25 

Up 0 to 100 points: 11 

Up 100 to 200 points: 0 

Up 200+ points: 0 

Annexure D • The weather evidence, in full

Each driver's value today, in last year's baseline window, and against the 2021 to 2024 normal for these same calendar days. “z” measures how unusual last year's baseline was (beyond ± 1.5 = unusual → we don't rest comparisons on that driver).

Driver	Last year (same weeks)	Today	2021 to 2024 normal	z	Verdict
Rain	6.6 mm	0 mm	no data	no data	no data
Wind speed	5.9 km/h	11 km/h	no data	no data	no data
Mixing height	463.2 m	439.2 m	no data	no data	no data
Inversion	1.4 °C	no data	no data	no data	no data
Temperature	29.3 °C	27.5 °C	no data	no data	no data
Wind direction	SE (136 deg)	NW (327 deg)	no data	no data	no data

Weather: ERA5/Open-Meteo hourly, aggregated over the same 24h-to-10AM window as the air data. Inversion: Safdarjung soundings (when current).

Annexure E · How this report is made

Where the numbers come from

Air readings come from the Central Pollution Control Board's station network in Delhi, of which 44 stations reported in today's window (24 hours ending 10 AM). A station's AQI is the CPCB bulletin method: the worst single-pollutant sub-index at that station.

How we compare with last year, and why the window is 15 days

“Last year” is never a single day: it is the average of the same date ± 7 days of 2025 (15 days), using only stations present in both years (36 matched today). There is a firm reason for the window. Delhi's day-to-day air is driven by weather systems (passing western disturbances, stagnant high-pressure spells and the mixing they control) that come and go every three to seven days; a 15-day window spans two to three of those cycles, so no single storm, calm night or shower can define “normal”. Because neighbouring days are correlated, the 15 days act like about seven independent readings, enough to cut the baseline's one-day noise roughly two-and-a-half-fold, while staying narrow enough to stay inside the same pollution season (a month-long window would blur the sharp changes at the monsoon's arrival and the late-autumn crop-burning surge). A single-date comparison would be more sensitive to one day's weather, not less, so the window is deliberately the harder-to-game choice: the same “anomaly from normal” method used for a temperature “departure from normal”. One honest limit: festival and crop-burning emissions are real pollution and stay in the numbers by design, so where a moving festival like Diwali falls at different calendar positions across the two years, its spike can sit inside one year's window and not the other's. Comparisons for October and November therefore carry that caveat.

What “population-weighted” means: the technical detail

Delhi's monitors are not spread evenly over its people: the leafy centre has many stations covering few residents, while single outer-Delhi stations stand for lakhs. A plain average therefore over-hears the well-monitored centre. The population-weighted figure fixes this: the city is divided into zones, one per station. Each zone is the part of Delhi closest to that station (a Voronoi map of the 39 active monitors, clipped to the NCT boundary). Each zone's population is estimated from the 70 Assembly Constituencies: the 2025 electoral rolls (≈ 15.61 million electors, from ECI turnout data) scaled by one ratio to the official 2025 NCT population projection (20.94 million, Registrar General of India), split by area where an AC straddles zones. A station's weight is its zone's share of Delhi's population; each day the weights of the stations that actually reported are re-scaled to sum to one, and the city figure is the weight-multiplied average. Honest limits: residents without voter registration (informal settlements) are under-counted by the elector scaling; stations added after March 2026 (e.g. JNU, Talkatora Garden) are not yet in the zone map, so they count in the plain average but not the weighted one; and no weighting can fix roadside-vs-residential siting or a monitor's own calibration.

Annexure E · How this report is made (continued)

What “weather-corrected” means

A machine-learning model (random forest), trained on five years of hourly data, learns how wind, rain, temperature and mixing height move pollution. Subtracting that effect shows what the city's own emissions justify. Honesty: on days it has never seen, the model explains roughly a third of the variation, so we treat outputs as estimates, quote ranges, and never claim precision the model doesn't have.

The fairness check

Before we cite any weather driver, last year's baseline weather is compared with the 2021 to 2024 history for the same calendar days. If last year was freakish for that driver, we don't build an argument on it. That holds in either direction.

The rules we bind ourselves with

The headline always states the WORSE of the observed and weather-corrected numbers (never the flattering one). One number, one engine: the same quantity is never quoted from two sources. A change whose range spans zero is “too close to call”, whether it helps us or hurts us. Gas levels are never the basis of a claim (documented unit inconsistencies); gas directions may be cited when statistically clear. Ozone is the exception: it rises when traffic emissions fall, and is therefore permanently excluded from our claims.

Words used

AQI: the air-quality index, which runs from 0 to 500, where higher is worse (0 to 50 is ‘Good’, 301 to 400 is ‘Very Poor’, and over 400 is ‘Severe’). $\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$: micrograms per cubic metre, the weight of pollutant in each cubic metre of air. Range: the span within which the true value very likely lies.

Report auto-generated daily from the same data files as the video, so the two can never disagree. Reviewed by the design panel on 13 July 2026.